A Characterisation of the Complexity of Forbidding Subproblems in Binary Max-CSP

Martin C. Cooper Guillaume Escamocher Stanislav Živný

Institut de Recherche en Informatique de Toulouse

University of Oxford

2012, October 10th

A Characterisation of the Complexity of Forbidding Subproblems in Binary Max-CSP

- ロ > - (同 > - (回 > -))

Motivation Definitions Basic Results

Motivation behind forbidden subproblems

General problem: Tractable Classes in Max-CSP

Classical approaches

- Restrictions on the constraints.
- Restrictions on the graph.

Recent method: **Forbidden Subproblems** Allows the combination of constraint-based and graph-based approaches.

4 3 5 4 3 5

Introduction

Forbidding A Single Subproblem Forbidding Sets of Subproblems Conclusion Motivation Definitions Basic Results

Subproblem \equiv Instance

- A set of variables.
- A set of points (variable/value assignments).
- A cost on the edges (pairs of points).

Introduction

Forbidding A Single Subproblem Forbidding Sets of Subproblems Conclusion Motivation Definitions Basic Result

Example

- A set of variables: $\{v, v'\}$.
- A set of points: $\{a \in A_{\nu}\} \cup \{b, c \in A_{\nu'}\}.$
- A cost on the edges: Cost(*ab*)=0, Cost(*ac*)=1.



4 E b

Motivation Definitions Basic Results

Forbidding a subproblem

 $\mathcal{F}(P)$: Set of Max-CSP instances in which the subproblem P does not occur.



A Characterisation of the Complexity of Forbidding Subproblems in Binary Max-CSP

Motivation Definitions Basic Results

Suppose that P occurs in P':

 $\begin{array}{l} P \text{ does not occur in } I \Rightarrow P' \text{ does not occur in } I \\ \mathcal{F}(P) \subset \mathcal{F}(P') \\ \mathcal{F}(P) \text{ is tractable} \leftarrow \mathcal{F}(P') \text{ is tractable} \\ \mathcal{F}(P) \text{ is intractable} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}(P') \text{ is intractable} \end{array}$

A Characterisation of the Complexity of Forbidding Subproblems in Binary Max-CSP

Motivation Definitions Basic Results

Lemma

Let *P* be a subproblem with three or more values in the domain of some variable. Then $\mathcal{F}(P)$ is intractable.

Proof

Reduction from Max-Cut:

- Max-Cut is intractable.
- Any Max-Cut instance can be reduced to a Max-CSP instance on boolean domains.
- $\mathcal{F}(P)$ includes all Max-CSP instances on boolean domains.
- $\mathcal{F}(P)$ includes all Max-Cut instances (after reduction).
- $\mathcal{F}(P)$ is intractable.

Two Variables Three Variables Four+ Variables Dichotomy

Two-variable subproblems



A Characterisation of the Complexity of Forbidding Subproblems in Binary Max-CSP 8/19

Two Variables Three Variables Four+ Variables Dichotomy

Lemma

- $\mathcal{F}(Q_1)$ is tractable.
- **2** $\mathcal{F}(Q_0), \mathcal{F}(Q_2)$ and $\mathcal{F}(U)$ are intractable.

Proof



Reduction from Max-Cut.

A Characterisation of the Complexity of Forbidding Subproblems in Binary Max-CSP

Two Variables Three Variables Four+ Variables Dichotomy

Three-variable subproblems not containing any of Q_0 , Q_2 or U:



A Characterisation of the Complexity of Forbidding Subproblems in Binary Max-CSP

Two Variables Three Variables Four+ Variables Dichotomy

Lemma

- $\mathcal{F}(B)$ is tractable.
- **2** $\mathcal{F}(A)$, $\mathcal{F}(C)$ and $\mathcal{F}(D)$ are intractable.
- **③** $\mathcal{F}(F)$ is intractable.

Proof

- Cooper and Živný 2011.
- Cooper and Živný 2011.
- Reduction from Max-Cut.

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

Two Variables Three Variables Four+ Variables Dichotomy

The only subproblem on four or more variables, and not containing any NP-hard subproblem on three or less variables



is NP-hard by a reduction from Max-Cut.

A Characterisation of the Complexity of Forbidding Subproblems in Binary Max-CSP

Image: A matrix

- A - E - M

B >

Introduction Forbidding A Single Subproblem Conclusion

Dichotomy

Theorem

If P is a binary Max-CSP subproblem, then $\mathcal{F}(P)$ is tractable if and only if *P* occurs either in Q_1 or in *B*.



Definitions

- A boolean subproblem: a subproblem with domains of size at most 2.
- A negative (positive) edge pair: Two edges of cost 1 (of cost 0) in a same constraint.

Definitions



Negative edge pair



• A negative (positive) cycle: A set of k variables v_1, \ldots, v_k such that there is an edge of cost 1 (of cost 0) in the constraint between v_i and v_{i+1} for $1 \le i \le k - 1$ as well as in the constraint between v_k and v_1 .



Positive cycle



 A negative (positive) pivot: Three points p, q, r such that Cost(pq)=Cost(pr)=1 (=0).



Negative pivot

Proposition

If Σ is a finite set of subproblems, then $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ is tractable only if:

- There is a boolean subproblem P ∈ Σ such that P contains no negative edge pair, no negative cycle and at most one negative pivot.
- Othere is a boolean subproblem Q ∈ ∑ such that Q contains no positive edge pair, no positive cycle and at most one positive pivot.
- Solution There is a boolean subproblem *R* ∈ Σ such that *R* contains neither *Q*₀ nor *Q*₂.



A Characterisation of the Complexity of Forbidding Subproblems in Binary Max-CSP

Summary of Results

- Dichotomy when forbidding a single subproblem.
- Necessary conditions when forbidding sets of subproblems.

A Characterisation of the Complexity of Forbidding Subproblems in Binary Max-CSP

Thank you very much for your attention!

Please ask questions if you have any.

A Characterisation of the Complexity of Forbidding Subproblems in Binary Max-CSP